(T)
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

[WRIT JURISDICTION]

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.2315 OF 2001

IN THE MATTER OF:

RAMNATH DHIMAR
S/o Sri Deendayal
Aged about 56 years
Vill. & Post Pallari,
Distt. Raipur [Chhattisgarh] PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
   Secretary
   Water Resources Department,
   Secretariat, Raipur {C.G.}

2. CHIEF ENGINEER
   Mahanadi Project,
   Water Resources Department,
   Raipur {C.G.}

P. R. No. 2316/01
From Shri A K Sahu
dated 31/10/01

3. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
   Water Resources Division No. 2
   Baloda Bazar, Distt. - Raipur

4. SUBDIVISIONAL OFFICER,
   Water Management Subdivision No. 6
   Baloda Bazar,District: Raipur RESPONDENTS

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice and His
Companion Justices of the
Hon'ble High Court of
Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

THE PETITIONER ABOVENAMED MOST RESPECTFULLY STATES AS UNDER:

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER
   As mentioned in the cause title.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPODENTS
   As mentioned in the cause title.

3. PARTICULARS OF THE ORDER AGAINST WHICH WRIT IS MADE

That the present petition arises due to the fact that the respondents have failed to pay the wages to the petitioner for the period for which his

(31)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH: HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ.

Civil Writ Petition No.2315 of 2001

PETITIONER : Ramnath S/o Shri Deendayal aged about 56 years Vill. & Post Pallari, Distt. Raipur [Chhattisgarh]

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Water Resources Department, Secretariat, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Engineer, Mahanadi Project, Water Resources Department, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Executive Engineer, Water Resources Division No.2, Baloda Bazar, Distt. – Raipur
4. Sub Divisional Officer, Water Management Subdivision No.6 Baloda Bazar, District: Raipur

Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

Present: None appears for the petitioner.
Shri AS Kachhawaha, Government Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 26th April, 2007)

When the matter was called-out for hearing, none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner may not be interested in the matter. In the circumstance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice